

REMARKS


Claims 1-5 are pending and stand finally rejected. The Examiner's reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 3 and 4 were rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as anticipated by Hansen (US patent 6,493,755) for essentially the same reasons as stated in the Office Action of September 27, 2005.

Applicant restates its remarks as presented in the Amendment as filed on January 27, 2006 and on June 12, 2006. Further, even assuming that the Examiner's interpretation of Hansen's notification actions equates to 'activation and deactivation', Hansen does not teach the feature of "said activation keys associate changes in status of other rule sets" as claims in Claims 1-5 of the present application.

For the foregoing reasons, it is believed that the present application, including claims 1 to 5, is in condition for allowance. The Examiner's early and favorable action is respectfully urged.

Respectfully submitted,



Frank Chau
Reg. No. 34,136
Attorney for Applicant

F. Chau & Associates, LLC
130 Woodbury Road
Woodbury, New York 11797
TEL: (516) 692-8888
FAX: (516) 692-8889